

Ikbal @ Ejad Hussain vs. Man Singh and others

Present: Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Vinod Chaudhri, Advocate
for respondent No.5-Insurance Company.

Though in the earlier order dated December 01, 2016, it was observed that respondents No.1 to 3 may not actually be necessary parties and need not to be served but it emerges from the record available that liability to pay compensation has been fastened upon respondent No.5-Insurance Company. Since the instant appeal is for enhancement of compensation, this Court is of the considered view that presence of respondents No.1 to 3 is not necessary.

Let appeal be fixed for arguments for 31.01.2017.

January 18, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE