

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42656 of 2017

Date of decision: November 13, 2017

Jaipal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly states that the Criminal Case has been fixed for framing of charge on 16.11.2017.

This is a petition for quashing of FIR No.0488 dated 31.12.2016, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 7, 31(1) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal (Haryana).

Admittedly, a period of more than ten months has already been passed from the lodgment of the FIR. In view of the Provision of Section 227 Cr.P.C. for discharge and particularly when the petitioner is entitled to oppose drawing of the charge, there is no need to entertain the petitioner.

In view of the above, petition is disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to apply for discharge or oppose the framing of charge against him.

Trial Court shall hear the counsel for the petitioner and thereafter make a reasoned order.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 13, 2017

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No