

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-42618 of 2017
Decided On : 10.11.2017**

Neha Dixit and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Kamal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents No. 4 to 6.

It is stated in the petition that the petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause them physical harm.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation sent to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, dated 03.10.2017 (Annexure P-5).

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Vikas Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of "***Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another***", **JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 10, 2017
shalini

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>No/No</i>