

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4258-2017
Date of decision : 14.02.2017

Raj Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. R.V. S. Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner seeks 'regular bail' on the ground that the quantity of contraband stated to have been recovered from him is admitted to be non-commercial quantity and he has been in custody since 21.08.2016, with the trial nowhere near conclusion as yet.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that no prosecution witness has so far been examined. He further submits on query of the Court, that no other criminal case is pending against the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid and the trial being nowhere near conclusion as yet, I consider it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, subject to his complying with all other conditions as would be laid down by that Court.

Disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 14, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No