

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43449-2016

Date of Decision: 31.01.2017

Ramphal and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rohit Khullar Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Asst. P.P.
for U.T., Chandigarh-respondent no. 1.

Mr. Vivek Kathuria, Advocate,
for respondent no. 2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this petition, anticipatory bail is sought for the petitioners, who are the accused in a complaint filed by the complainant-respondent no. 2 herein, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh, alleging therein that she (the complainant) was kidnapped by the petitioners.

Vide an interim order dated 05.12.2016, the petitioners had been admitted to interim bail, upon the condition that they appear before the trial Court within a period of 15 days from the order.

It is not denied by either learned counsel for the U.T., Chandigarh, or by learned counsel for respondent no. 2-complainant, that the petitioners have duly appeared before that Court and have been admitted to bail in terms of the aforesaid order.

Though learned counsel for the complainant-respondent no. 2 has tried to oppose the petition, however, in view of the fact that obviously, it being a complaint case, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required, hence, with the petitioners now appearing before the trial Court, the order dated 05.12.2016, is made absolute, on the same surety bonds already furnished by them, subject to their complying with other conditions as may be laid down by that Court.

The petition is disposed of, accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 31, 2017
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.