

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4249-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 21, 2017.

Geetika Raj and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Vikas Bahl, Sr. Advocate with
Shri Paras Money Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mrs. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Shri Manoj Bajaj, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. The petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.481 dated 20.10.2016, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC and 66-D of Information Technology Act, 2000 PS DLF-II, District Gurgaon on the basis of compounding/compromise. Shri Manoj Bajaj, Advocate appears for the complainant-respondent No.2 and states that the compromise has indeed been effected voluntarily, without any pressure or coercion. He also placed on record duly sworn in affidavit of the complainant.

In that view of the matter, the petition is allowed and the impugned FIR, along with all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/ compromise.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

February 21, 2017.

kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No