

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42526 of 2014

Date of decision: February 07, 2017

Jai Parkash Goyal and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Aditya Grover, Advocate for the petitioner No.1.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Abhijeet Partap Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.764 dated 10.11.2014, under Sections 420, 406,
506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Civil
Lines, Hissar, petitioner No.1 seeks anticipatory bail.

I have seen the FIR in question. It is not in dispute that the
parties to the dispute have been in business relations for the last 15
years. The FIR shows that according to the complainant, he was
cheated, in that, money was taken by the complainant but material was
not supplied. Learned counsel for the parties agree that an attempt
regarding mediation was undertaken but that failed. According to the
learned counsel for petitioner No.1, cheque given to the complainant
bounced and thus, petitioner No.1 had dishonored the compromise that

was attempted with the intervention of the Court.

In my opinion, the dispute being of a civil nature in part, it would be appropriate to make the order of granting interim bail, absolute.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 12.12.2014 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to petitioner No.1, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 07, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No