

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.43341 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.04.2017**

PARDEEP @ LILA

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.79 dated 20.02.2016, registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 186, 188, 332, 353, 379-B, 395, 412, 427, 436, 124-A, IPC, Section 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act and Section 3 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984 at Police Station Meham District Rohtak.

Allegations against the petitioner are that he along with other co-accused looted double barrel guns from the Police Station, Meham during the riots which took place in the month February 2016. The name of the petitioner came to be disclosed

by co-accused Jitender in his disclosure statement, who alleged that out of five double barrel guns, two guns were looted by Jagbir, one gun was looted by the petitioner, one gun was looted by Parveen and one gun was looted by Vikas. Jagbir, Parveen and Vikas have already been granted bail by the trial Court.

Petitioner was arrested at Bhawani Khera and FIR No.132/16 under the Arms Act was registered. The recovery of gun in question was effected from the petitioner at Bhawani Khera. Jitender at whose instance the petitioner was implicated has since been granted regular bail by the trial Court.

Petitioner is in custody since 25.05.2016. Challan has already been presented and the case is fixed for 24.04.2017 for prosecution witness.

In view of above, I deem it appropriate to consider the case of the petitioner on parity with other co-accused from whom guns were also recovered.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case, I am of the view that petitioner, who is in custody since 25.05.2016 can be enlarged on bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial

Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

April 03, 2017.

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No