
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

**CRM-M No.42407 of 2017
Decided on: 17.11.2017**

Jagtar Singh s/o Sh. Sohan Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Harinder Pal Singh Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN , J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail in FIR No.281 dated 08.10.2015 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

Counsel for the petitioner submit that as per the version given in the FIR, the complainant has paid an amount of ₹ 1.5 crores to co-accused-Jagtar Singh s/o Amrik Singh. It is further submitted that the complainant has made the payment of the aforesaid amount by way of RTGS in the account of the co-accused-Jagtar Singh. The perusal of the FIR show that the complainant-Labh Singh has stated that co-accused-Jagtar Singh on the pretext of sale of 6 acres of land has taken the aforesaid amount, however, has failed to execute the sale deed and later on, on enquiry, it is found that he has sold the land to some other person. It is further submitted that the petitioner is only an attesting witness to a sale deed and is not a beneficiary

in any manner. The allegation of cheating are against co-accused-Jagtar Singh who has also been arrested.

It is further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 03.05.2017 and the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate and challan has already been presented. It is also submitted that after framing of the charges, prosecution evidence has not started and it will take long time in conclusion of the trial.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jai Karan, has not disputed the factual position.

In view of the submissions made above, this petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Jagtar Singh s/o Sohan Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. However, it will be open for the prosecution to apply for cancellation of bail, if the petitioner is found involved misusing the concession of bail, in any manner.

November 17, 2017
sonia gugnani

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No