

261
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

-.-
CRM-M No. 43226-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 3.4.2017

Sunny Dhir and ors

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Himanshu Bajaj, Advocate for petitioners.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 113 dated 11.3.2016 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 and 377 of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines District Gurgaon is being sought on the basis of compromise dated 19.5.2016 (Annexure P-2)

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 1 on 19.4.2015. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise dated 19.5.2016 (Annexure P-2). In compliance of order dated 3.12.2016, report dated 2.1.2017 of Additinal Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurgaon has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect

is the statements given by petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 2.1.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 113 dated 11.3.2016 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 and 377 of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines District Gurgaon, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

3.4.2017
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No