

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-42265 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 17, 2017

Ranjot Singh @ Ranjodh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.188 dated 17.09.2017, under Sections 498-A, 406, 376, 511 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 18.09.2017. It is argued that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that the matter stands compromised between the parties and a petition bearing CRM-M-42011-2017 has already been filed for quashing of instant FIR, in which notice of motion has been issued and the parties have been directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate to get their

statements recorded regarding genuineness of the compromise.

At this stage, Mr. D.S. Gandhi, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of complainant-respondent No.2 and files the power of attorney, which is taken on record and admits the factum of compromise between the parties.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, opposes the grant of regular bail in routine.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that investigation is complete and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

November 17, 2017

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No