

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

266

CRL. MISC. No.M-42198 OF 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 21st FEBRUARY, 2017

Saraj Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Anupam Bhanot, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gitanjali, Advocate for the complainants-respondents
No.2 to 5.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of Cross Report No.24 dated 11.07.2013 under Sections 323, 324, 452, 148, 149 IPC registered at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for private respondents No.2 to 5/complainants submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-42198 OF 2015 is allowed.
- (ii) The Cross Report No.24 dated 11.07.2013 under Sections 323, 324, 452, 148, 149 IPC registered at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioners.

21st February, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.