

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.4224 of 2017

Date of Decision: 08.02.2017

Sheela and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. J.K. Sehrawat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The case of the petitioners is that they are major and have contracted marriage on 06.02.2017. The marriage photographs evidencing marriage have been placed on the file. It is alleged that they apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 9. To seek redressal of their grievance, they have submitted representation dated 06.02.2017 (Annexure P4) to the Superintendent of Police, Jind.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ripudaman, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice.

Without commenting on the age of the petitioners and validity of their marriage, directions are given to the Superintendent of Police, Jind to decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P4) within one month from today, keeping in view the threat perception of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that if any criminal case is pending against the petitioners then this order shall not debar the respondents from proceeding in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of.

08.02.2017	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
prince	JUDGE
Whether Reasoned/Speaking	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No