

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-43117 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.02.2017

Santokh Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.75, dated 26.05.2015, under Sections 341/307/506/379/34 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Baragudha, District Sirsa.

Counsel for the parties have been heard at length.

FIR has been registered on the statement of Sanjay S/o Bhagwan Dass Bishnoi in relation to an occurrence that is stated to have taken place on 26.05.2015. Allegations against the present petitioner is of having fired a pistol shot which hit on the right side of neck of Sukhmander Singh @ Mander. It is stated to be an eye witness account, witnessed by Sanjay complainant as also Lakha S/o Gurjeet Singh.

Even though, the injured Sukhmander Singh has already been examined before the trial Court but the other eye witnesses in this case that is Sanjay and Lakha are yet to be examined.

Under such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to extend in favour of the petitioner benefit of regular bail at this stage.

Prayer for regular bail is, accordingly, declined.

Petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court afresh after the deposition of Sanjay and Lakha is concluded.

07.02.2017

harjeet

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | No |