

216

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-43023 of 2016 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 19.7.2017

Sat Narayan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. R.K. Makkad, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The grouse of the petitioner is that he has filed a complaint (Annexure-P-1) before the police and the police is not taking any action in the matter and not investigating the same.

The reply of State shows that the dispute is regarding canal water. The police has looked into the matter and the matter has been compromised.

In view of the matter, the present petition is disposed of. However, if the petitioner is not satisfied with the inquiry and is aggrieved that the police is not registering the FIR, he can always file a complaint before the Magistrate with appropriate prayer.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

19.7.2017  
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No