

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43011-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 15, 2017.

Jagdip Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri Manoj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Daljeet Virk, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
Shri Nitin Thatai, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

During the pendency of the present petition for compounding/ compromise, the counsel for the parties report that compromise has taken place and as per the terms and conditions of the compromise, the petitioner has agreed to pay back Rs.8 lacs out of which Rs.5 lacs have been paid and the balance amount would be paid by post dated cheques. There is a statement in the compromise deed that after the quashing of the present FIR, the process to realize the balance amount would take place. Statements of the parties have been recorded. I have seen the statements. In that view of the matter, FIR No.8 dated 6.1.2016, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC, registered at Police Station Tripri Town, District Patiala, along with all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/ compromise. It is made clear that in the event of non adherence to the terms of the compromise, the respondent-company would be entitled to revive the complaint.

Disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

February 15, 2017.

kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No