

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-42944 of 2013
Date of Decision: March 20, 2017

Rinku and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Gourav Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate
for respondents No.2.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to order of this Court dated December 01, 2016, the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra, dated 17.01.2017, has been received in Court, stating therein that the statements of the petitioners and respondent no.2, i.e. the accused and complainant respectively, have been recorded by that Court. The statement of the complainant (respondent No.2) is stated to be a joint statement along with his mother, Smt. Santosh, who is also stated to have been injured in the occurrence that took place, leading to the registration of FIR No.345 dated 04.10.2015, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 IPC, at Police Station Kurukshetra University, District Kurukshetra.

Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate, appears for respondent no.2 and does not dispute the aforesaid position.

Keeping in view the fact that the trial is still going on and no conviction has been recorded, also seeing the nature of offences and the fact that the matter has been settled amicably between the parties, I see no purpose in continuing with the present proceedings. It would, in fact, be in the interest of peace and order if the matter is settled at this stage itself.

Consequently, keeping in view the ratio of the judgment of the Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543, FIR No.345 registered on 04.10.2015, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 IPC, at Police Station Kurukshetra University, District Kurukshetra, against the petitioners, along with all proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed.

March 20, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no