

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO.M-42014 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 21.12.2017

Rahul Gupta @ Joti

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.348 dated 14.10.2017 under Sections 353,186,294,506 IPC registered at Police Station City Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural), on the basis of compromise dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR was outcome of a misunderstanding between the parties, which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised. He further states that no injury was caused in the occurrence and only alleged verbal altercation is stated to have taken place. He has relied upon the judgment of the Division Bench in the case of **Vinod @ Boda and others Vs. State of Haryana and another**, reported as 2017(1) RCR (Criminal) 571.

This Court by the order dated 08.11.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Sub Divisional

Jyoti
2017.12.23
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Jyoti
2017.12.23
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
HIGH COURT CHANDIGARH

Judicial Magistrate, Jagraon , has sent his report dated 21.11.2017,

wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a misunderstanding between the parties, which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" **2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.348 dated 14.10.2017 under Sections 353,186,294,506 IPC registered at Police Station City Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 21, 2017
jt

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No