

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4199-2017

Date of Decision: 15.05.2017

Sukanya and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioners were seeking protection of life and liberty on account of the fact that they had married each other (as contended) against the wishes of respondents no. 4 to 7.

Notices were ordered to be issued in this petition on 08.02.2017, with a direction to respondents no. 2 and 3 herein to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is duly protected; yet, notices actually could not be issued because even the process fee has not been filed, as per the report of the Registry.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioners is also not present in Court.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed but with a direction to respondents no. 2 and 3 to continue to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners remains continued to be protected, as per law.

It is made clear that if any of the averments made in this petition especially with regard to the age of the petitioners, previous marital status and with regard to the fact that they are not in any prohibited relationship to each other, are found to be false, this order would not construed as a bar on any proceedings, initiated as per law.

May 15, 2017
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.