

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-42842 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.04.2017

Palak @ Purnima

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. G.S. Madan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet K. Athwal, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Rajeshwar Thakur, Advocate for the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.121 dated 24.9.2016 under sections 420, 380, 496, 120-B I.P.C, registered at Police Station, Haibowal, District Ludhiana.

The complainant is none other than the husband of the petitioner.

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that a compromise has been thrashed out between the parties.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner has furnished during the course of hearing today a copy of the compromise deed dated 18.4.2017.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant admits to the contents of such compromise deed.

The compromise deed is taken on record as Mark-A.

Even learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Vinod Kumar would apprise the Court that in pursuance to the notice of motion order dated 30.11.2016, passed by this Court the petitioner has since joined

investigation.

In the considered view of this Court, custodial interrogation of the petitioner, as such, would not be warranted. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 30.11.2016, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Needless to observe that in case there be any deviation from the terms and conditions of the compromise deed dated 18.4.2017 taken on record today, it would be open for the complainant to seek cancellation of the concession of pre-arrest bail granted to the petitioner.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

27.04.2017
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No