

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42776-2016

Date of decision: 23.2.2017

Surender Singh

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Garg, Addl. AG, Haryana.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Respondent No.2 has been served through her mother but there is no representation on her behalf.

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has already joined investigation in compliance with interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 30.11.2016 and is ready to face the proceedings, in accordance with law, without any default. It has further been argued that dispute between the parties has been settled by way of compromise and in pursuance thereof, a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 seeking decree of divorce by way of mutual consent has already been filed and statement of the parties in first motion have been recorded and the proceedings are pending for 05.07.2017.

Counsel for the State concedes to this position.

There is no representation on behalf of respondent No.2 to say something in the matter.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of. Interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 30.11.2016 is made absolute subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) he shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

FEBRUARY 23, 2017

'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No