

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42760-2016
Date of decision : 27.01.2017

Raju

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.A.G.Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner seeks regular bail after having been taken into custody upon an FIR no.365 dated 25.05.2016 registered against him and his co-accused, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 307 read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, on the allegations against the petitioner, in the FIR, initially being that he was riding a motor-cycle with the pillion rider behind him having shot at the son of the complainant, who received an injury.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the challan has been presented and one witness out of twenty has been examined.

In view of the aforesaid allegations, I do not consider it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The petition is, therefore, disposed of with a direction to the

trial Court to ensure the material witnesses, i.e. complainant and the persons
stated to be eye witnesses, are examined at the outset.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 27, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No