

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-41843 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 06, 2017

Shiv Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.269 dated 02.06.2017, under Sections 498-A, 306 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 14.08.2017. It is also argued that the allegations as set out in the FIR are false, as during the period of marriage, which took place 10 years ago, no complaint had been made regarding harassment, as has now been set out in the FIR. It is submitted that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case, since there is no suicide note. It is also contended that investigation is complete,

as the challan has been presented and charges have been framed, therefore, petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail, while not disputing the fact that charges have been framed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 14.08.2017 and that investigation is complete, as the challan has been presented and charges have already been framed, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

December 06, 2017
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No