

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-41841 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.11.2017

Sonu and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Ramesh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought regular bail in FIR No. 410 dated 12.08.2017, registered under Sections 323, 376 and 506 of IPC, at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat.

It is contended that the prosecutrix was a consenting party. The complainant/prosecutrix, a married matured lady has been examined and she has not supported the prosecution version. The petitioners are in custody since 14.08.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the complainant has not supported

the case of the prosecution; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10.11.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No