

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-41834 of 2017

Date of Decision: 15.11.2017

Raj Pal

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.236 dated 28.05.2016 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 201 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Taraori, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegation against the petitioner is that while working as Lambardar, he has identified the co-accused Pradeep. He further states that on the basis of documents so prepared, the accused Pradeep has availed loan,

which has otherwise been repaid. Moreover, the co-accused namely Pradeep and Rajesh have already been admitted on bail by the trial Court. The petitioner is in custody since 04.10.2017.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Karambir Singh, does not dispute that co-accused namely Pradeep and Rajesh have already been admitted on bail by the trial Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 04.10.2017 and co-accused of the petitioner have already been admitted on bail, coupled with the fact that trial in the case may take long time, I deem it appropriate to release him on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

Needless to say that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case.

November 15, 2017
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No