

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42689-2016

Date of decision: March 15, 2017.

Anil

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana and others

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Mrs. Sushma Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Shri Satya Vir Singh Yadav, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard Learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposed the petition for grant of anticipatory bail. It is not in dispute that the petitioner was an employee with the complainant employer. The prosecution case itself is that the petitioner himself signed the cheques as an authorized signatory and withdrew the amount. The Bank has given the amount on the basis of forged signatures of the authorized signatory.

In my opinion prima facie the petitioner is entitled to the grant of bail since the Bank released the payment without verification of the signatures. Hence, the Bank is responsible for withdrawal of the amount. In that view of the matter, the interim order dated 30.11.2016 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

March 15, 2017.

kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No