

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-42448 of 2016
Date of decision: 22.03.2017**

Parveen Kumar @ Anup and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 247 dated 01.05.2015, under Sections 323, 498-A, 406, 506, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station, Suraj Kund, District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 11.06.2015 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Rakhi-respondent No.2 (complainant) to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Parveen Kumar @ Anup-petitioner No.1 on 18.02.2012 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies at Faridabad. Soon after the marriage, her

in-laws i.e. including petitioners started harassing and humiliating her on

the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings by them. Ultimately, she was thrown out of her matrimonial house. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise deed dated 11.06.2015 (Annexure P-2). As per compromise, it has been decided that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 would file a joint petition for divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

In compliance with the order dated 28.11.2016 passed by this Court, parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad, has been received in this regard. As per report, Rakhi-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 24.01.2017 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioners out of her own free will and without any pressure or coercion. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Separat statements of Parveen Kumar @ Anup, Smt. Ratnesh, Rajinder, Sonu @ Seetu and Seema @ Anuradha-petitioners were also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this Court is of the view

that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 247 dated 01.05.2015, under Sections 323, 498-A, 406, 506, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station, Suraj Kund, District Faridabad, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

22.03.2017
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No