

CRM-M-42437-2016

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr.No.259)

CRM-M-42437-2016

Date of Decision:-May 16, 2017

Sumit Deepak Bhatia

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.248 dated 23.07.2011 registered under Sections 420, 467 and 468 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the respondent No.2-complainant state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated January 31, 2017 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has

been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.248 dated 23.07.2011 registered under Sections 420, 467 and 468 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 16, 2017

pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No