

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42403 of 2016
Date of Decision: 01.02.2017

Sanjay Sood and Anr.

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Rajesh Bhateja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms.Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.95 dated 02.05.2003, for the offence under Sections 406, 498-A and 120B IPC registered at Police Station City Moga, District Moga along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 30.08.2014 (Annexure P-3).

It was alleged by the complainant that since the beginning of the marriage, the behaviour of the petitioners and their family members was rude towards his daughter-Manju Sood. They were not satisfied with the dowry articles brought by her at the time of marriage. Besides, they used to illtreat and taunt her for bringing inadequate dowry. They were maltreating, torturing and beating the respondent No.3 with a view to compel her to bring more dowry from her parents as they were not satisfied with the quality and quantity of the dowry and article given by her parents. On this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been resolved between the petitioners and respondent No.3-Manju Sood, vide compromise deed (Annexure P-3).

In compliance with the order dated 14.12.2016 passed by this

Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the CJM, Moga, has been received in this regard. As per report, Manju Sood-respondent No.3 and accused-petitioners appeared before the trial Court on 22.12.2016 for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In this regard statements of complainant- Manju Sood and accused-petitioners were recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter and Manju Sood-complainant has no objection if the present FIR is quashed against these accused. Statements of accused-petitioners were also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the Court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.95 dated 02.05.2003, for the offence under Sections 406, 498-A and 120B IPC registered at Police Station City Moga, District Moga (Annexure P-1) is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

01.02.2017

anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned :</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>