

CRM-M-4150-2017

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.258)

CRM-M-4150-2017

Date of Decision:- May 09, 2017

Neeraj Kakkar

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Keshav Partap Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Rule. Heard forthwith with the consent of the rival parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited my attention to the order dated April 17, 2017 made by this Court in CRM-M-4097-2017. He submits that during the pendency of the matter regarding anticipatory bail, the trial Court vide order dated 23.01.2017 has declared the petitioner as proclaimed person. He submits that the FIR in question relates to the year 2014 for the offences under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 24 of Immigration Act, 1983. The offences are triable by the Magistrate. He further submits that the petitioner would file an undertaking on affidavit that he would appear before the trial Court with promptitude and would not make any default in appearance hereinafter. In that view of the matter, I think without finding any fault with the trial Court's order, the following order would subserve the interest of justice:-

ORDER

1. CRM-M-4150-2017 is partly allowed.
2. The impugned order dated 23.01.2017 passed by the learned JMIC, Karnal declaring the petitioner-Neeraj Kakkar as proclaimed person in case FIR No.160 dated 07.06.2014 registered under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468 and 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 24 of Immigration Act, 1983 at Police Station-Taraori is quashed and set aside.
3. The petitioner-Neeraj Kakkar is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/suerty bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.
4. The petitioner shall continue to appear before the trial Court with promptitude and shall cooperate for the disposal of the case.
5. Any absence of the petitioner during trial would entitle the trial Court to take further steps according to law.
6. Disposed of.

May 09, 2017

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No