

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-42365 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 4th JULY, 2017

Sangeeta Devi & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.256 dated 19.08.2015 registered under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B IPC and Section 13(1)(c)(d) & 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act at Police Station Badhra, District Bhiwani.

Rule.

Heard forthwith with consent of the counsel for the parties.

Undoubtedly, the case of the prosecution is that loss of ₹40,500/- was caused to the State by the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no enquiry has been made by the competent authority regarding loss of ₹40,500/, as per Section 53 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act. The statement is accepted.

In that view of the matter, with the consent of the parties,
following order is passed.

ORDER

- (i) Order dated 28.11.2016 is made absolute, subject to the deposit of ₹ 40,500/- by the petitioners with the office of BDPO, Charkhi Dadri, District Bhiwani within six weeks from today. The same shall not be forfeited in any account. The competent authority acting under Section 53 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, shall take decision as to the said amount and if amount is not found due the same shall be returned to the petitioner in that event and if the amount is found to be due accordingly necessary orders would be passed.
- (ii) Disposed of accordingly.

4th JULY, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>