

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-41486 of 2017
Date of Decision : 30.11.2017

Inderjit Lal

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. D.S. Gurna, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.123 dated 22.07.2012, under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Shimla Puri, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise dated 27.10.2017 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties, which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 03.11.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court for recording their statements with regard to the genuineness of compromise. The report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, dated 29.11.2017, has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded, which indicates that they have entered into compromise voluntarily and without any coercion or pressure.

The FIR is outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties.

Now the matter has been compromised and the parties want to live in peace and harmony.

In view of the law laid down in "*Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab*" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.123 dated 22.07.2012, under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Shimla Puri, Ludhiana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

November 30, 2017
jt

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>