

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

CRM-M-41485-2017

DATE OF DECISION:06.11.2017

VIJAY KUMAR SETHI

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has instructions to confine this petition only for the protection of life and liberty of the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is facing trial in FIR No.531 dated 09.11.2016, under Sections 420, 406 and 467 of IPC, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak and he has been threatened by complainant when he appeared before the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has sent a representation to Superintendent of Police, Rohtak in this regard on 20.08.2017 (Annexure P-4). He further states that he shall be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation of the petitioner and take action, in accordance with law.

Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and without making any observations on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Rohtak to look into the representation of the petitioner dated 20.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent(s).

06.11.2017*SwarnjitS***(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether reportable :	Yes / No