

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

248

CRM-M-41451-2017.

Date of Decision: 30.11.2017.

Tehal Singh

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Munish Raj Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate, for
Mr. Bikramjeet Singh Jatana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

Through this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made for quashing F.I.R. No.10 dated 02.03.2015 registered under Sections 417, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Muktsar Sahib, on the basis of compromise dated 25.09.2017 (Annexure-P2).

On presentation of the petition, vide order dated 02.11.2017 passed by this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements qua compromise with a direction to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to furnish a report regarding veracity thereof, if any, between the parties.

Consequently, the parties had appeared before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout on 08.11.2017 and got recorded their statements. Report from the concerned Magistrate has been received vide letter No.209 dated 21.11.2017 duly forwarded by learned District and Sessions Judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib vide letter No.2834/EB dated 24.11.2017.

According to the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout, the compromise between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the compromise will bring harmony in relations between the parties, the present petition is accepted and the aforesaid F.I.R. No.10, is quashed qua the petitioner, subject to depositing costs of ₹20,000/- by the petitioner with the Punjab Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh within one week.

The Registry is directed to report whether the cost is deposited by the petitioner or not.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

30.11.2017
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No