

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

250

CRM-M-41438-2017.

Date of Decision: 02.12.2017.

Nasib Singh and others

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

Ms. Gaganjot Kaur, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

Through this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, prayer has been made for quashing F.I.R. No.148 dated 01.09.2014 registered under Sections 336, 506, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27/29/54/59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Ghall Khurd, District Ferozepur, on the basis of compromise dated 25.09.2017 (Annexure-P3).

On presentation of the petition, vide order dated 03.11.2017 passed by this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements qua compromise with a direction to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to furnish a report regarding veracity thereof, if any, between the parties.

Consequently, the parties had appeared before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ferozepur on 21.11.2017 and got recorded their statements. Report from the concerned Magistrate has been received vide covering letter No.831 dated 24.11.2017 duly forwarded by learned District and Sessions Judge, Ferozepur vide letter No.17430/CB dated 27.11.2017.

According to the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ferozepur, the compromise between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any pressure or coercion.

In view of the totality of the facts and circumstances and considering the fact that the compromise will bring harmony in relations between the parties, the present petition is accepted and the aforesaid F.I.R. No.148, is quashed qua the petitioners, subject to depositing costs of ₹10,000/- by the petitioners with the Punjab Legal Services Authority, Chandigarh within one week.

The Registry is directed to report whether the cost is deposited by the petitioners or not.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

02.12.2017
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No