

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-41422 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: December 11, 2017.**

Deepak Verma

Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr.Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Siddharth Sanwana, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Sihag, Advocate
for the complainant.

LISA GILL, J.

The petitioner prays for bail pending trial in FIR No. 64 dated 02.02.2017, under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506, 120-B, 376, 216, 180 IPC, registered at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak.

The petitioner is the brother-in-law (Devar) of the complainant. It is informed that during the pendency of this petition, the matter has been amicably resolved between the complainant and her husband-Keshav Verma i.e. the elder brother of the present petitioner. Compromise deed dated 10.12.2017 furnished in Court today, is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

As per the said agreement, the complainant and the petitioner's brother have decided to part ways. Petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, will be filed by them. Petitioner has agreed to handover a total sum of ₹9 lakhs as full and final settlement of all the complainant's claims- past, present and future towards alimony, maintenance etc.

The complainant as well as the petitioner's elder brother duly identified by their counsel are present in Court. The complainant, affirms the factum of settlement between the parties and submits that she has no objection in case this petition is allowed subject to the petitioner/ petitioner's brother strictly adhering to the terms and conditions of settlement dated 10.12.2017.

Petitioner's elder brother-Keshav Verma, present in Court undertakes to abide by the terms and conditions of the settlement.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Neelam, Police Station Meham, District Rohtak, verifies that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case. No recovery is to be effected from him.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in Court, if released on bail. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner incarcerated any longer in the facts and circumstances of this case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition filed by petitioner-Deepak Verma, is allowed. The petitioner be released on bail pending trial subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall

be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

11.12.2017
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.