

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-41324 OF 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 25th APRIL, 2017

Kashmiri Lal Sahni & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Amit Mehta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.51 dated 29.07.2013 registered under Sections 417, 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 read with Section 120-B IPC at Police Station Division No.1, District Pathankot, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2/complainant submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 27.01.2017 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it

is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-41324 OF 2015 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.51 dated 29.07.2013 registered under Sections 417, 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 read with Section 120B IPC at Police Station Division No.1, District Pathankot, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioners.

25th APRIL, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>