

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-42195 of 2016
Date of Decision: 23.5.2017

Satnam Singh and another

---Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and another

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr.Kartik Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG. Punjab

None for respondent No. 2

Rekha Mittal, J.

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No. 3 dated 17.1.2015 for offence punishable under Section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered at Police Station, Mantiana, District Hoshiarpur and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 18.10.2016 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of

Amarjit Kaur @ Amarjeet Kaur wife of Satnam Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 28.11.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, report 9.1.2017 has been submitted by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and that they have voluntarily compromised the matter. As per second report dated 18.4.2017 submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, petitioner No. 1 Satnam Singh is already on bail and petitioner No. 2 Ravinder Singh has not been declared as a proclaimed offender.

Counsel for the State has not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners and respondent No. 2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**', 2012(4) **R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 3 dated 17.1.2015 for offence punishable under Section 498-A IPC registered at Police Station, Mantiana, District Hoshiarpur and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

23.5.2017
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No