

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(1)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42070 of 2016 (O&M)
(2)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42071 of 2016 (O&M)
(3)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42072 of 2016 (O&M)
(4)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42073 of 2016 (O&M)
(5)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42074 of 2016 (O&M)
(6)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42076 of 2016 (O&M)
(7)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42077 of 2016 (O&M)
(8)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42080 of 2016 (O&M)
(9)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42081 of 2016 (O&M)
(10)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42084 of 2016 (O&M)
(11)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42085 of 2016 (O&M)
(12)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42088 of 2016 (O&M)
(13)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42089 of 2016 (O&M)
(14)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42091 of 2016 (O&M)
(16)	Crl. Misc. No.M-42131 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 02, 2017

Parmeshwar Dayal Lakhani

.....PETITIONER(s).

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....RESPONDENT(s).

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, A.A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Golcha, Advocate
for the complainant.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

This order will dispose of all the above-mentioned petitions
filed under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of
anticipatory bail to the petitioner in cases FIR Nos.710 dated 07.10.2016;

701 dated 06.10.2016; 700 dated 06.10.2016; 707 dated 07.10.2016; 708 dated 07.10.2016; 691 dated 05.10.2016; 712 dated 07.10.2016; 698 dated 06.10.2016; 713 dated 07.10.2016; 717 dated 08.10.2016; 715 dated 08.10.2016; 697 dated 06.10.2016; 711 dated 07.10.2016; 709 dated 07.10.2016; 714 dated 08.10.2016, registered for the offence punishable under Section 174-A of Indian Penal Code (for short- IPC), at Police Station Faridabad Central.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was declared proclaimed offender in complaints filed against him for offence punishable under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act. All those complaints have since been withdrawn. However, separate FIRs were registered against the petitioner under Section 174-A IPC in which challan have not been filed by the police so far.

Learned State counsel submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in these cases.

Keeping in view the above fact but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, all the aforementioned petitions are allowed and the petitioner is directed to surrender before the police within two weeks and keep on joining the investigation as and when called. In the event of his arrest being required, he shall be released on anticipatory bail in all the above-mentioned FIRs on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of arresting officer, till the presentation of challan, subject to the following terms:-

- (i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by the police as and when required;

- (ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusation against him so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court.
- (iv) that the petitioner will seek regular bail on the presentation of challan in Court.

March 02, 2017
Sachin M.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: ***Yes/No***

Whether Reportable: ***Yes/No***