

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-41981 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 9th NOVEMBER, 2017

Gurmail Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. D.A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Rohit Ajuja, Advocate for respondents No2 to 4.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0081 dated 13.09.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 & 149 IPC at Police Station Fattu DHINGA, District Kapurthala, in view of compromise.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for the private respondents submits that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 23.11.2016 and 15.09.2017 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and genuine. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-41981 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.0081 dated 13.09.2016 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 & 149 IPC at Police Station Fattu DHINGA, District Kapurthala, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings, qua the petitioners.

9th NOVEMBER, 2017
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>