

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17564 of 2006 (O&M)
Date of Decision.27.03.2017**

Puran Singh and othersPetitioners

Vs

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

2. CWP No.17698 of 2006

Municipal Council, Sangat MandiPetitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Present: None for the petitioner in CWP No.17564 of 2006.

Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP No.17698 of 2006.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Both the parties are ad idem that no cause of action survives for consideration in the writ petitions and seek dismissal of the same as having become infructuous.

Ordered accordingly. The writ petitions are dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

March 27, 2017

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No