

260

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41940 of 2016

Date of decision: May 08, 2017

Rajinder Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. R.K. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Bhanu Partap Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 5.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.84 dated 19.05.2008, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 326, 325 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, the petitioner seeks quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondents No.3 to 5 submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Dasuya stating that

the statements have been recorded and the compromise is voluntarily and with free will and without any pressure or coercion.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.84 dated 19.05.2008, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 148, 149 of IPC (Sections 326, 325 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

May 08, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No