

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41924 of 2016

Date of decision: February 20, 2017

Anita Sekhari

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

Mr. V.K. Sachdeva, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties as well as learned counsel for the complainant at length.

In FIR No.618 dated 11.10.2016, under Sections 420, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar City, District Amritsar, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 13.12.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Harpreet Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

Prima-facie, I find that a case is made out for confirmation of the interim order dated 13.12.2016.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 13.12.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

February 20, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No