

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41003 of 2017

Date of decision: November 02, 2017

Karu Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Vijay K. Jindal, Advocate the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Grewal, Addl. AG
Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Rule. Heard forthwith.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.14 dated
11.11.2013, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 218, 201, 120-B of
Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 7, 13 (1) D read with Section 13
(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station
Vigilance Bureau, FS-I, Punjab at Mohali.

Admittedly, the trial is pending since 2013 before the
Special Court against number of accused persons. Petitioner was
released on bail by this Court. However, he appeared before the trial
Court only at 3:00 PM on the appointed date, but by that time, order
was already passed by the trial Court cancelling his bail. In my
opinion, without finding any fault with the trial Court's order, by way

of one chance, petitioner can be allowed to be at liberty on the same bail bonds particularly, because he has stated in Paras 7(i) and (ii) of the petition that he had to attend the Court and is resident of Madhya Pradesh.

In that view of the matter, petitioner is allowed to be continued on bail on the same bail bonds. Thereafter, the petitioner shall attend the Court with promptitude. Petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence, threaten or exercise any influence on the prosecution witnesses.

Petition stands disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 02, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No