

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-40966 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 05.12.2017**

Monu

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.140 dated 09.08.2017 under Sections 323/341/506/34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act (Section 25 of Arms Act deleted later on), registered at Police Station Loharu, District Bhiwani, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is not named in the FIR and only simple injuries were caused in the occurrence. All the offences, except Section 506 IPC, are bailable. Section 25 of the Arms Act, which was also mentioned in the FIR, was later on deleted. He also contends that petitioner is a student of class 12th.

This Court, by the order dated 01.11.2017, had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of his

arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from HC Sombir, states that in pursuance to the order dated 01.11.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 01.11.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 05, 2017

shalini

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned :* YES/NO
2. *Whether reportable :* YES/NO