

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-40964 of 2017
Date of Decision : 27.11.2017

Deepak @ Deepa

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. of Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. SPS Chakkal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP for U.T. Chandigarh.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 298 dated 17.09.2017, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 506 IPC and Section 25,54,59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sector-31, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that he has caused a sword blow on the leg of the complainant. As per the MLR, this injury has resulted in the fracture of the leg of the complainant.

Learned State counsel has contended that the petitioner is also involved in several other cases.

In view of the seriousness of the allegations against the petitioner of having fractured the leg of the complainant with a sword, I am not inclined to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

November 27, 2017
kanchan

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes
No