

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-4096 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 18.04.2017.

Dhanraj

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. V.S. Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 178 dated
18.08.2016 registered under Section 395 IPC at Police Station Sadar
Narwana, District Jind.

On 08.02.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Contends that petitioner has been introduced in the FIR on
the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, namely, Azad
and the similarly placed co-accused, namely, Vijay has been
admitted to bail by this Court.

Notice of motion for 15.03.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the
Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject
to the following conditions:-

1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a
police officer as and when required;

2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 08.02.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the fact that the petitioner has been roped in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Azad Singh, he is a young boy of 32 years of age, he is not named in the FIR, allegedly a sum of Rs.2000/- has been shown to be recovered from the petitioner, the order dated 08.02.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioner to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

18.04.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No