

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4093-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.05.2017

SUKHWINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By way of petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.195, dated 08.03.2016, registered under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, Karnal.

Contentends that the petitioner was neither the signatory nor he was having capacity to deal with the withdrawal of amount. He is in custody since 03.04.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel vehemently opposes the present bail petition.

Heard.

There are specific allegations against the petitioner that as against 12 beneficiaries recommended by the authorities, list was

interpolated and number of beneficiaries increases to 24 and the added candidates were not on the roll of the NDRI. Further, as per the allegations, the amount was not disbursed to any of the candidates, rather it was embezzled by the petitioner. It has also come on record that the petitioner manually used to carry a file for onward transmission and necessary approval of the beneficiaries. As per record, Rs.78 lakh was recovered from his premises which still remains unexplained. Therefore, prima facie involvement of the petitioner at this stage is made out.

Dismissed.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be taken to be an opinion on merits of the case.

23.05.2017
ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes / No
Whether reportable:	Yes / No