

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-40844 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 15.12.2017**

Neelam Singh @ Puni

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Harminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.44 dated 20.07.2017 under Sections 420,506 IPC, registered at Police Station Kanwan, District Pathankot, on the basis of compromise dated 01.08.2017 arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties, which has not been resolved and the matter has been compromised.

This Court by the order dated 01.11.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Pathankot, has sent his report dated 18.11.2017, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties, which has not been resolved and the matter has been compromised.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" **2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.44 dated 20.07.2017 under Sections 420,506 IPC registered at Police Station Kanwan, District Pathankot and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Deceber 15, 2017
jt

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes /	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes /	No