

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: April 19, 2017

1) Crl. Misc. No.M-41708 of 2016

Parmeshwar Dayal Lakhani ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

2) Crl. Misc. No.M-41730 of 2016

Parmeshwar Dayal Lakhani ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Mukesh Rao, Advocate
for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Sanjeev Kumar, submits that pursuant to the order dated 20.03.2017, the petitioner has surrendered before the Police Station, Central, Faridabad, from where he was produced before the learned trial Court seized of the proceedings initiated under Section 174A IPC, as also proceedings under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. As such, the said

order dated 20.03.2017 stands complied with.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that in view of the fact that other FIRs, and complaints, have been registered against the petitioner, he is in judicial custody in those cases.

CRM-M-41730 of 2016

In this particular petition, Mr. Dawar, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that though proceedings under Section 174A IPC are continuing, as regards the complaint filed under Section 138 of the Act of 1881, the said complaint itself has been withdrawn.

Be that as it may, the other cases would obviously proceed as per their own proceedings and as regards the present case, the order dated 20.03.2017, passed in both the petitions, is made absolute, subject to the condition that the petitioner would continue to appear before the trial Court as and when summoned.

Both the petitions are disposed of accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

April 19, 2017
vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/no
Whether reportable: Yes/no